

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,990	10/18/2000	Steven Benjamin Davis	2237.1 CONT.11	2111
5514 7	590 10/05/2004		EXAM	IINER
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			WASYLCHAK, STEVEN R	
30 ROCKEFE NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3624	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•		
Office Action Summany	09/690,990	DAVIS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven R. Wasylchak	3624
The MAILING DATE of this communication eriod for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wh	tn the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion. 5, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT of statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 October 2000.	
-2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
isposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 20-46 is/are pending in the appl	ication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-32;43-46</u> is/s		n.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-42</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
pplication Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	iments have been received. Iments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been	oplication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not r	received.
tachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	ummary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 33-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muftic (US 5,850,442).

Claims:

33. A business process for creating a secure contract over a network, comprising the steps of:

generating a contract agreement, comprising the steps of determining a contract rule set, determining a set of expectations for one or more contracting parties, and determining potential contract outcomes;/col 7, L46-63; fig 22,24

receiving initial conditions for contract generation from the one or more contracting parties; fig 22

carrying out contract activity according to the contract rule set such that the one or more contracting parties act in a synchronized manner according to the contract rule set and the set of expectations;/fig 23,24

generating a non-refutable contract log detailing all contract transactions;/fig 7(700)

Art Unit: 3624

generating a theoretical contract log detailing expected contract transactions based on the contract rule set, the set of expectations, and the initial conditions; and/fig 7(700); fig 23-25

Muftic does not explicitly disclose verifying the contract transactions as recorded in the contract log by comparing the contract transactions in the contract log to the expected contract transactions in the theoretical contract log.

Official notice is taken that this feature is old and well known in the e-commerce art and / or retail art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement this feature for the advantage of checking those potential or theoretical contracts that have an expiration date do not lapse.

- 34. The business process according to Claim 33, wherein after the process is completed, financial transactions occur based upon the secure contract./ fig 24,25
- 35. The business process according to Claim 33, where the contract activities over the network are non-refutable./fig 27,28,30
- 36. The business process according to Claim 35, where one or more digital signatures are used to provide non-refutable identification of the one or more contracting parties./fig 22, 23

Art Unit: 3624

37. The business process according to Claim 35, where the activities are non-

refutable by any of the one or more contracting parties to the secure contract./fig

27,28,30

38. The business process according to Claim 35, where the activities are non-

refutable by a supporting party with an interest in the secure contract./ fig-

24,27,28,30

39. Muftic does not explicitly disclose the activities are non-refutable by a

supporting party providing recourse for improper contracts. Official notice is

taken that this feature is old and well known in the e-commerce art and / or retail

art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

applicant's invention to implement this feature for the advantage of providing

liquidating and/or punitive damages for breach of contract such that damages are

preset in the contract.

40. The business process according to Claim 33, where the contract rule set and

initial conditions further comprise hidden events, which comprise random events

generated by a trusted third party./ claim 25 of art; col 7, L 45-64 (authenticating

suggests the possibility of hidden or random events by a trusted third party

Art Unit: 3624

41. The business process according to Claim 33, where the contract log further comprises simultaneous events, wherein said simultaneous events are held, controlled and verified by a trusted third party./fig 7,23,25; claim 25 of art

42. The business process according to Claim 33, where any contracting or supporting party can provide recourse in the event of problems during creation of the secure contract./claim 20 of art: verification; claim 27 of art: authorization

This action is **NON-FINAL**. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven R. SWasylchak whose telephone number is (703) 308-2848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Milling, can be reached at (703) 308-1065. The fax number for Art Unit 3624 is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Steven Wasylchak

9/27/04 6

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600